

In Denmark, where cold winds
bite a man's face,
he's thankful for a tobacco
that doesn't bite his tongue.



Regular and Mildly Aromatic blends
in pouches and 6-oz. and 12-oz. tins.

Skandinavik®
Danish Long-Cut Tobacco.

Imported by General Cigar Co., Inc.

How the English
keep dry.



Gordon's Gin. Largest seller in England,
America, the world.

PRODUCT OF U.S.A. 100% NEUTRAL SPIRITS DISTILLED FROM GRAIN. 86 PROOF. GORDON'S DRY GIN CO., LTD., LINDEN, N.J.



Newsweek

The Washington Post Company
Katharine Graham, Chairman of the Board
Larry H. Israel, President

Editor

Osborn Elliott

Managing Editor: Edward Kosner

Executive Editor: Kenneth Auchincloss

Senior Editors: Oiga Barbi, James M. Blackwell, Arnaud de Borchgrave, Mel Elin, Robert V. Engle, Rod Gander, Peter Goldman, Edward Klein, Jack Kroll, Dwight Martin, Larry Martz, Clem Morgello, Russell Swanson.

Art Directors: Alfred Lowry.

Contributing Editors: Shana Alexander, Hal Bruno, Milton Friedman, Kermit Lansner, Bill Moyers, Paul A. Samuelson.

General Editors: David M. Alpern, Pete Axthelm, Lucille Beachy, Raymond Carroll, Daniel Chu, Matt Clark, Walter Clemons, John A. Conway, Douglas Davis, Jerrold K. Footlick, Linda Bird Francke, George Gaal, Peter Gwynne, Tom Mathews, Albert W. McCollough, Ron Meyerson, Charles Michener, Tom Nicholson, David Pauly, Elizabeth Peer, Peter S. Prescott, Bill Roeder, Michael Ruby, Hubert Saal, Sandra Salmans, Ted Slate, Archer Speers, Richard Steele, Harry F. Waters, Kenneth L. Woodward, Lynn Young, Paul D. Zimmerman.

Associate Editors: Milton R. Benjamin, Peter Bonventre, Mathilde Camacho, Elliot Carlson, Charles Caruso, Arthur Cooper, Susan Fraker, James R. Gaines, Mariana Gosnell, Lucy Howard, Margo Jefferson, Peter G. Kramer, Phyllis Malamud, Ann Ray Martin, Allan J. Mayer, Andrew Nagorski, Stephen K. Oberbeck, Maureen Orth, Ann M. Schumacher, Jean A. Seligmann, Merrill Shells, Sally W. Smith, Robert Vermillion, Joseph Wigglesworth, Kim Willenson, Fay Willey, Carter S. Wiseman, Gerson Zelman, Arthur Zich Jr.

Department Heads: John Lynch, James McVea, Milton Ungar.

Assistant Editors: Virginia B. Adams, Constance W. Carroll, Betsy Carter, John Concanan, Susan Fleming, Judith Gingold, George Gorman, Dewey Gram, Virginia Martino, Margaret Montagno, Bob Monterola, Charles Panati, James Sage, Kenneth Sarvis, Stuart A. Seidel, Joseph P. Stiner.

Senior Editorial Assistants: Pamela Lynn Abraham, Susan Agrest, Katrine Ames, Guy Anobile, Joseph Arena, Deborah W. Beers, John Burke, Holly Camp, Patricia Conway, Edward R. Darlington, Allison W. Dimond, Jerry Eitelberg, Donald D. Garrido, Constance Guthrie, Janet Huck, Joseph Ingarra, Eileen P. Keerdoja, Alison Kilgour, Abigail L. Kuflik, Laurie Lisle, Susan Malsch, Frank G. McCusker, Stephen G. Michaud, Steven Shabad, Nancy Stadtman, Raymonde Swalf, Lisa Whitman.

Editorial Assistants: Lincoln J. Abraham, Annabel Bentley, Judith Birnbaum, Freddy Boyd, Gretchen Browne, Barbara Burke, Martin Burke, J. Calamari, Albert J. Cass, Barbara H. Di Vittorio, Dobrila Dzolan, Alan M. Field, Sandra Gary, Lynn James, Christine Kelly, Ann Lallande, Richard Manning, Joan McHale, Ruth McKown, Robert G. Miner Jr., Tessa Namuth, Herbert Pagan, Sylvia Robinson, Mary Rourke, Patricia J. Sethi, Dan Shapiro, Pamela Ellis Simons, Ralph K. Smith, Cornelis van den Heuvel, Frances M. Veeder, Michele Wallace.

Art and Pictures: Thomas F. Orr (Photo Editor), Barbara A. Allen, Walter Bensi, Patricia A. Burke, Americo Cairo, Robert Cohen, Dale Denmark, Grace Dornal, Joan Engels, Charles D. Feeney, George Fitzgerald, Donna M. Foote, Henry Genn, Bernard Gotfryd, James J. Kenney, Robert R. McElroy, Paul Melone, Peter Murray, Anthony Rollo, Joseph Romano, Ben Rothstein, Andrea K. Senigo, Susan J. Tweedt, John Whelan.

News Editor: Don Holt.

Domestic Bureaus: WASHINGTON: Mel Elin, James Bishop Jr., Samuel Shaffer, Nancy Ball, Guy Bocell, Diane Camper, Evert Clark, Philip S. Cook, Jeff B. Copeland, Thomas M. DeFrank, Shirlee Hoffman, Henry W. Hubbard, Tom Joyce, Stephan Leshner, John J. Lindsay, Anthony Marro, Henry McGee, Thelma McMahon, Norma Milligan, Lloyd H. Norman, Rich Thomas, Bruce van Voorst, Jane Whitmore, Amanda Zimmerman, Wally McNamee (photographer). ATLANTA: Joseph B. Cumming Jr., Eleanor Clift, Vern E. Smith. BOSTON: Bernice Buresh, Sylvester Monroe. CHICAGO: Frank Maier, Tony Fuller, Elaine F. Sciolino, Martin Weston. DETROIT: James C. Jones, Jon Lowell. LOS ANGELES: Johnson Dotson Jr., Peter S. Greenberg, Martin Kasindorf, Sunde Smith, Lester Sloan (photographer). MIAMI: William Schmidt. NEW YORK: Angus Deming, Barbara L. Davidson. SAN FRANCISCO: Gerald C. Lubenow, William J. Cook, Mary Alice Kellogg, James D. Wilson. UNITED NATIONS: Raymond Carroll.

Foreign Bureaus: LONDON: Peter R. Webb, John Barnes, Lorraine Kisly. PARIS: Scott Sullivan, Seth S. Goldschlager, Malcolm MacPherson. BONN: Milan J. Kubic, Anthony Collings. MOSCOW: Alfred Friendly Jr. BEIRUT: Nicholas C. Proffitt, Barry Came. JERUSALEM: Jay Axelbank. NAIROBI: Andrew Jaffe, Peter Youngusband (Capetown). TOKYO: Bernard Krisher, Kensei Yoshida. HONG KONG: Loren Jenkins, Paul Brinkley-Rogers, Tony Clifton, Sydney Liu, Ron Moreau. BUENOS AIRES: James Fringle.

Editorial Controller: Joseph F. Marr.

INTERNATIONAL EDITION

Editor: Robert C. Christopher

Managing Editor: Maynard Parker

Assistant Managing Editor: Richard Z. Chesnoff

Regional Editors: Edward Behr (Europe),
Richard M. Smith (Asia)

Operations Director: Eloise Doyle; Assistant: Joseph A. Cosenza

Osborn Elliott, Chairman of the Board

Gibson McCabe, President

Robert D. Campbell, Publisher

Thomas O. Darrigan, Executive Vice President

S. Arthur Dembner, Peter A. Derow, Senior Vice Presidents

Vice Presidents: David Auchincloss, Allen Chellas, F. E. Davis,


Alan R. Finberg, James F. Glancy, Charles J. Kennedy,

John Kirby, Thomas B. Leekley, John E. Mandable,

Russell J. Malvin, Robert E. Rioridan, William H. Scherman,

Edward L. Smith.

Walter B. Wriston



An Economic Police State

Historically the American people have been both proud of their institutions and optimistic about the future. Nevertheless, when times are hard our mood is sometimes depressed to the extent that we feel that further progress is unlikely. A few years before we had to fight for our national existence in World War II, President Roosevelt declared: "Our industrial plant is built." The problem, he thought, was administering resources through national planning. Under leadership that forgot that freedom is indivisible, we set up centralized economic planning under the National Recovery Administration—a flat abandonment of free markets. From that folly we were rescued by the despised "nine old men" of the Supreme Court who remembered tyrannies of the past and would not let them be revived.

Like most attacks on individual freedom, the current proposals for economic planning—even though they are wrapped up in a package labeled "progress"—attract people who should know better. A new self-appointed group called the Initiative Committee for National Economic Planning is now pressing for a program designed to destroy the free-market system and with it our personal liberty.

THE GRAND ILLUSION

American life is a unique amalgam of political, religious and economic pragmatism. Each sector contributes to and indeed forms part of the other sectors. A concerted attack on one sector inevitably undermines the others. To think that the bell does not toll for academic freedom or for freedom of the press if the free market is shackled is an illusion. The American experiment, which is rooted in the individual's right to be himself, has produced the largest measure of freedom and the highest standard of living for more people than the world has ever known. As America continues to feed the world, it might be noted that no nation with centralized economic planning under whatever guise has been able to feed itself for long. That is no mere coincidence; it is cause and effect.

All proposals for a managed economy rest on a basic underestimation of the intelligence of the American people. It is asserted directly or by inference that you and I are just not smart enough to decide how to spend the money we earn. There-

fore the wise government through centralized economic planning will decide for us. The wonderful people who brought us price and wage controls, which so severely disrupted our economy, now wish to extend the chaos on a permanent basis. We would be told what we needed and who could make it.

THE AGONY OF CHOOSING

Centralized planning would come to the inevitable conclusion that it would be more efficient to allocate scarce resources on a national level by mandating university curriculums in a standardized fashion. It would soon become clear that if we had a standard history book, it could omit the fact that loss of economic liberty is always accompanied by the loss of individual liberty—this too would save money, time and thought. Paper could be conserved by leaving out, for example, Mussolini's boast that "we were the first to assert that the more complicated the forms assumed by civilization, the more restricted the freedom of the individual must become." The planners to whom the Congress would inevitably delegate the "planning" would find out without too much work that having only one television network would not only conserve energy, but would save the citizen from the agony of choosing which channel to watch. Those who advocate central planning always believe that they are smarter than the marketplace, and naturally that it is they themselves who will wind up in control of our country.

The collision course between centralized economic planning and personal liberty is inevitable because, in the end, government allocation of economic resources requires force. Dictatorship is the most effective way of applying force against the populace. Today we have advocates of the managed economy talking of "planned freedom" and the Initiative Committee for National Economic Planning speaks euphemistically of "plenary power" and about obtaining a "mandate." If proponents of centralized planning came out bluntly and said they were building an economic police state, their cause would never get off the ground. The application of force, once centralized planning is in motion, is foreordained because no plan that contains thousands of parts can possibly be agreed upon by a majority of the people.

Last fall, at the economic summit, it was

made obvious to all the world that experts do not agree. Anyone with even a passing knowledge of the American Congress knows that it would be impossible to get a majority vote on every item in the American economy that would have to be allocated, priced and assigned priority. National economic planning would be delegated to bureaucrats who like all regulators would then require arbitrary power to enforce each decision. Since, by definition, the elements of the plan cannot represent the will of the majority, it then follows that the people must be taught to understand that the will of the planner is for their own good. You must fasten your seat belt whether you want to or not. The law will prove ineffective if it does not have public support, in the same way that the seat-belt-buzzer law proved ineffective, or that the prohibition against drinking liquor proved to be a constitutional disaster.

NATIONALIZATION OF THOUGHT

Manifest failures must be explained. Argument will then be made that people in charge of our price and wage controls did not really believe in them, or that those in charge of Prohibition were alcoholics. The remedy of course is to get zealous teetotalers to explain that booze is bad, and Fabians to enforce arbitrary price and wage controls. The poverty of the concepts will be corrected by the strength of administration. It follows that public support must be generated by every means at hand. Doubts that "the plan" may not work must be suppressed and the official mythology, which inevitably grows up, must be sold. Long ago in the United Kingdom, Prof. Edward Carr put it this way: "It is significant that the nationalization of thought has proceeded everywhere *pari passu* with the nationalization of industry."

The founders of our country were distrustful of the concentration of power. An attempt to end-run this principle under the guise of national economic planning would succeed in destroying both our personal liberty and our productive power. We need to preserve both or we may find ourselves with neither.

Wriston is chairman of Citicorp.